APPENDIX C

AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS, PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

Analysis Methods

Because the projected traffic volumes for the proposed facility in 2030 are similar to the traffic volumes projected for the design year utilized in the 1994 FEIS (2013), the same modeling inputs and results that were utilized in the 1994 FEIS were also utilized as the basis for the analysis in this FEIS.

A microscale analysis was used to predict the effect that traffic on the proposed facility would have on local air quality. This analysis was utilized to predict the generation and dispersion of carbon monoxide (CO) within the immediate vicinity of the proposed facility. Traffic projections for the design year of 2013 were used in the analysis and the results were compared to the 1-Hour National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) of 35 parts per million (ppm) for CO.

Motor vehicle emission rates were computed using MOBILE5a (EPA's Mobile Source Emission Factor Model). To provide a worst-case scenario, the emission factors were developed using conservative model inputs. Carbon monoxide concentrations from highway vehicles were calculated by using CALINE3, a linear dispersion model.

A realistic worst-case approach was taken for nearly all meteorological conditions. Wind directions were analyzed at predominant directions to determine the maximum CO concentrations. Other factors included a wind speed of one meter per second, a rural stable atmospheric condition, a mixing height of 3,280 feet (1,000 meters) and worst case minimum and maximum January temperatures of 29.1°F (-1.6°C) and 49.0°F (9.4°C), respectively.

Modeling was done for the peak 1-hour traffic condition. In addition, the highest projected traffic volumes were selected and utilized in the modeling to represent the entire proposed facility. A CO background concentration of 1.0 ppm, for the 1-hour concentration, was used to account for CO sources outside the study area. Speeds for the existing roadways and the proposed facility were based on the travel demand model inputs.

Representative receptor sites along the facility were chosen at locations where the highest CO concentrations could be expected and where the general public would have access during the analysis periods.

Since the project area is in attainment for ozone and is included in Metroplan's Metro 2030 Metropolitan Transportation Plan for CART Study, a mesoscale or "regional" analysis was not required.

Analysis Results

Modeling results indicate that CO concentrations ranging between 0.4 and 0.8 ppm would be generated in the mixing cell. These computer estimates, when combined with an estimated ambient/background level of 1.0 ppm, result in total CO concentrations ranging between 1.4 and 1.8 ppm. These projected concentrations are well below the 1-Hour NAAQS for CO (35 ppm).

Printouts of the model assumptions and results, for both MOBILE5a and CALINE3, are included on the following pages. These results show the predicted highest one-hour CO receptor concentrations for the design year 2013. These concentrations would be located in areas where the greatest traffic volumes are moving at their slowest probable speed.

CALINE 3 AND MOBILE5a PRINTOUTS

CALINES

RUN: MORTHBELT 2013 (1)

DATE: 10-27-1993 TIME: 09:41:15

1.0 SITE VARIABLES

WIND VELOCITY	Ξ	1.0 M/S	BEARING	Ξ	225	DEGREES
CLASS	=	F	MIXING HEIGHT	=	100	ă
AVERAGING TIME	=	60.0 MIN	AMBIENT CONC	=	1.0	PPM
ROUGHNESS	=	10.0 CM	STILNG VELOCIT	Y=	0.0	CH/S
		_	DEPSTN VELOCITY	i=	0.0	CM/S

2.0 LINK VARIABLES

	*		COORDI	ΙÀ	TES (M)		,
LINK	ì	7.1	ï1	:	72	¥2	٤
	-÷						:
1	ŧ	9	- 0	:	1350	Ģ	ŧ
A	t	1350	9	:	9350	0	
3	ŧ	9350	ij	:	12950	9	٠
4	ŧ	12950	0	:	20950	0	Ł

	LINK	DESCRIPT	ORS			ţ
TIAK ,	TYPE	YPH	EF	H	¥	_1
·	At-Grade	4250	10.5	1.9	50.0	
2 :	At-Grade	3170	10.6	1.0	50.0	
3 =	At-Grade	2300	10.6	1.0	50.0	ŧ
; ;	At-Grade	4320	10.6	1.0	50.0	1

3.0 RECEPTOR COORDINATES (M)

RECEPTOR	:	3	Ÿ	Z	;
1.0		675	ĝ	1.0	•
2.0	•	5350	0	1.0	;
3.0	Ŧ	11150	9	1.0	
4.0		16950	0	1.0	#
					_4

4.0 MODEL RESULTS

		1	CO CC	NCENT	RATIO	ins at	RECEPTORS
L	INK	:	1	2	3	<u> </u>	
	1		0.8	0.0	9.0	0.0	
	2		0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	
	3		0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	
_	4	\$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	
NKS'	PPS	1+	0.8	Ð.6	0.4	0.5	
EKGRD	PP	ŧ	1.0	1.0	1.9	1.0	
07.4L	PPN		1.5	1.6	1.4	8.1	ĺ

FILES

Fire

1.383 0.606 0.194 0.067 0.031 0.002 0.002 0.072 0.006 LITTLE ROCK, AR 1.284 0.908	0.051 0.000 6.000 12.222 0.506 0.154 0.057 0.031 0.002 0.012 0.072 0.006 LITIE ROCK, AR 2.461 0.506 0.154 0.057 0.031 0.002 0.002 0.072 0.006 LITIE ROCK, AR				1.185 0.585 0.202 0.086 0.013 0.002 0.004 0.081 0.005 LITTLE ROCK, AR 1.182 0.005 0.005	0.000 0.000 0.631 0.585 0.202 0.006 0.033 0.002 0.004 0.081 0.005 LITTLE ROCK, AR 2.237 0.585 0.202 0.086 0.033 0.002 0.004 0.081 0.005 LITTLE ROCK, AR
	0.051 0.006 6.600 12.292 2.461				1.185 1.152 0.007 0.026	0.000 0.000 10.637 2.237 0
1.463 1.463 0.000	0.000 11.133 1.341				1.463	0.000 11.133 1.341
1.165	5.411 6.210				1.086	5.320
0.366 0.366	0,778				0.349	0.755 1.157
0.277 0.277	0.706				0.255 0.255	0.681 1.024
1.426 1.076 0.012	0.000 0.000 20.620 5.813				0.932 0.932 0.931	0.000 12.705 4.953
1.730 1.654 6.009	0.000 0.000 16.447 2.210				0.026 0.099 0.026	
2.167 2.073 0.011 0.084	• • •				0.010 0.010 0.029 0.000	2.551
1.535 1.468 0.009 0.656	6.000 6.000 14.766 1.983					0.000 13.116 1.524
1.125 1.062 6.006 6.036	0.000 0.000 10.829 1.737			=	0.992 0.008 0.013	
500. 1 K	500. 2 500. 3			98	×>~ -	500. 2 506. 3
/65.0 '46 29.6 27.3 20.6	20.6 20.6	1	055) is 40f or less, or input or less; no eraporative emission iurnal, ruming lous, or resting			
27.3	27.3	更	or in	lendar 27.3		27.3
28.6	26.6		less. Tapora	ated ca 20.6		20.6 20.6
20.5	99	eratu ulatu	107 in 10	ige. 9.		33
75.07	45.0/8 45.0/8	ive temperatures (imput daily of, calculated but made	SS) is or less urmal,	of the 55.0245		5.0/45
1 3 45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0 46 28.6 27.3	3 45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0 46 20.6 27.3 20.6 3 65.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0 46 26.6 27.3 20.6	-H 63 Comment: + Ome or more evaporati	calculated running loss) is 600 or less, or input dally minimu is 250 or less; no eraporative emission factors (the same, dairnal, running loss, or resting loss; with he calculated.	Obnission (actors are as of Jan. 1st of the indicate 1 13 45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/		1 13 45.045.045.045.045.045.045.045.045.0 46 20.6 21.3 20.6 1 13 45.045.045.045.045.045.045.045.045.0 46 20.6 27.3 20.6
-		₹.		출 _		

AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS, PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

FILE GOILOOTZ

-	Vehicle Speeds	<u>a</u>	p Alt. o Twp Cold/Not Start in Ft. 1	In Fi.	<u> </u>	LBGT1	LBGT2	Composi LDGT	Composite Emission Factors ECT Whom I have any	Ssion Factors	_		:	:		Tehic	Tehicle Hix				
sion fact.	URaission factors are as of Jan. Ist of the indicated calendar year. 1 83 45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/	Scated c	dicated calendar year.					1	i	į	- [2	e [e	רובנו רו	CT2 BGC	7 1697	100 TO	2	All Yeh LBGF LBGT1 LBGT2 NBGY LBBY LBBT NBBY NC Scenario Title	<u>=</u>
			7:3 K:3		2.43 2.430 0.030 0.222	3.713 3.276 0.167 0.266	5.567 4.690 0.596 0.281	1.373 3.761 0.319	5.427 0 5.427 0 1.172	0.249 6.0.249 0.	0.360 2.	2.537 3. 2.537 3.	3.413 3 3.346 2 0.067 0	.146 0.69 .762 .164	6 0.134 9.	074 0.029	0.016 0.	005 0.03	4 0.010	3.146 0.656 0.134 0.074 0.029 0.016 0.005 0.034 0.010 LITTLE POCT, AR 2.762 0.164	*
1 83 45.0/45.0 1 53 45.0/45.0 -N 83 Coment:	1 83 45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/	56 58.6 58.6 59.6	27.3 20.6 27.3 20.6	506. 2		0.000 0.000 35.904 4.457	-	= -		0.663 0.1	0.802 7. 1.632 26.	0.000 7.545 14.754 26.486 1.113	***	6.000 6.000 6.717 6.69	6 0.134 0.	976 0.029	0.018 0,	905 6.03	4 0.010	0.000 0.000	=
	One or more evaporative temperatures (imput daily, maximus, hapt ambient, calculated bot coak, and/or calculated running loss) is 60° or less, or imput daily minimum is 25° or less; no evaporative emission factors (mos coak, dairuna), running loss, or resting loss) atill be calculated.	ures (ing ed hot so or less, evaporat ing loss,	out daily bak, and/or or input ive emission or resting	_										60 60 60 60 60		114 0.029	0.015 O.	965 0.03 1	4 0.010	**************************************	2
sion facto 45.0/45.0,	Denission factors are as of Jan. 1st of the indicated calendar 1 91 45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0 46 20.6 21.3	icated ca 16 20.6	of the indicated calendar year. 15.0/65.0 46 20.6 27.3 20.6	500. 1 T	1.666	2.267 2.036 0.023				0.370 0.567 0.370 0.567		1.441 1.562		594 0.64(0.174 0.0	83 6.031 (1.006 6.0	02 0.057	0.007	1.594 0.640 0.174 0.083 6.631 0.606 6.002 0.657 9.007 LITTLE ROCK, AR 1.715	*
1 93 45.0/45.0/ 1 93 45.0/45.0/ -9 63 Comment:	1 99 45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/45.0/	6 20.6 6 20.6	15.0/45.0 46 20.6 27.3 20.6 15.0/45.0 46 20.6 27.3 20.6	500. 2 500. 3 500. 3		• •	9.214 0.000 0.000 30.100 3.255 2.255	0.206 0.006 0.000 25.129 2.536 7	0.362 0.960 0.000 59.427 7.760 1.1	0.619 0.993 1.594 1.881	13 6.147 11 16.126	0.000 0.000 47 11.133 26 1.341	_	0.015 0.165 0.000 6.600 10.530 0.640	0.174 0.0	13 0.031 6 13 0.031 0	.096 0.0 0.0 0.00	72 0.057 72 0.057	0.007 L	0-015 0-000 0-000 0-000 20-530 0-640 0-174 0-083 0-031 0-006 0-002 0-057 0-007 LITTLE ROCT, AR 3-345 0-640 0-174 0-083 0-031 0-006 0-002 0-057 0-007 LITTLE ROCT, AR	2 2

AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS, PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

| HOBILES (26-Nar-53) | HOBILES (26-Nar-54)